



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent, and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

	· #				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/542,920	04/04/2000	Patrice Onno	1807.1249	5750	
5514 7	590 01/05/2004		EXAMINER		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			GHULAMALI, QUTBUDDIN		
30 ROCKEFEI NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
··-··-,			2631	. /	
		DATE MAILED: 01/05/2004	4 //		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/542,920	ONNO ET AL.				
, arisony monori	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Qutub Ghulamali	2631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 16 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☑ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	d amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NC	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:	•					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3, 5, 7-9, 11, 13-19, 21-25, 27-3</u>	<u>11</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  10. Other: PRIMARY EXAMINED						
		PHIMAN	flux			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)  Advis	ory Action		art of Paper No. 11			

## Continuation of 2. NOTE:

The newly added limitations, "by a space-frequency transformation" and "which is inferior to a predetermined third number", are new matter which is/are not supported by the spacification as originally filed. In the meantime examiner exhausted the specification to find a fair support to new matter, however, to no avail. It is also noted the newly added limitation (s) never been claimed in any of the claims which raise "new issue", which require further search and consideration.